

## Outdoor Advertising Permit Geographic Information Systems

### QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

1. Are the performance objectives specific to the web application or both Web and Mobile platforms? **Both**
2. What type of web service is the Mn/DOT TISUS/RLC and what data does this web service expose? How is this related to the OAPRT application or the required solution? **RLC services are exposed as REST/SOAP services that return road or highway information for (x,y) locations. The data source is the Mn/DOT road and highway data.**
3. We assume the TRIMBLE RANGE finders used by Mn/DOT are capable of digitally transferring data to PC, Laptop or handheld devices? If yes can you please specify one or more make and model that Mn/DOT currently uses? **Yes, the Range finders used by Mn/DOT are capable of digitally transferring data. The range finders are TruPulse 360 by Laser Technology Inc. The GPS unit is a Trimble GeoXT. Both devices are Bluetooth enabled.**
4. Will Mn/DOT consider AN ALTERNATIVE to GeoCortex as a platform for the proposed application assuming the proposed solution has its own set of libraries? **Please consult the GIS Architecture Guide that accompanied the SOW.**
5. It's our understanding that Microsoft active sync and Outlook is external to this RFP apart from the fact that the mobile device user should be able to use both of these applications simultaneously including the proposed application? Is this assumption correct? **Yes.**
6. Are the requirements designed for a specific Commercial Of the shelf application (COTS) or is a customized Solution considered compliant. **Mn/DOT uses an ESRI framework. Please consult the GIS Architecture Guide that accompanied the SOW.**
7. Will Mn/DOT have the necessary resources to support the proposed schedule for selecting and implementing the Solution? **Yes**
8. Is the Budget for the RFP approved for this year and if so is this information available? **The budget has been approved but that specific information is not available to vendors.**
9. In addition to the proposed solution requirements is Mn/DOT looking for additional support for field data collection or other related services necessary in implementing the system? **No.**
10. Will retainage be held until the end of the warranty period? **Retainage is held until Audit has completed their audit of the project.**
11. Is it reasonable to assume that all third party software, base maps, and related hardware platforms are to be supplied by Mn/DOT in support of the implementation? **Yes. Refer to the GIS Architecture Guide (Exhibit E) that accompanied the SOW for supported products.**

12. On page 48, under Technical Architecture, “MnDOT states “

T-4	The application must operate on the Trimble Geo XT GPS Unit
T-5	The Field Application must operate with the Windows mobile 2008 and above

**the Trimble Geo XT GPS unit runs windows mobile 6.**

There is no windows mobile 2008 version. So, we are not clear on what Mn/DOT is referring to in T-5. Are you referring to the Trimble GeoExplorer 2008 series? **The reference to Windows mobile 2008 is incorrect. We are using Windows Mobile 6.**

13. Are you expecting vendors to propose a rate structure for time & material type project or a fixed price for completing the project?

**The vendor may propose either payment structure. Guidelines when submitting your cost proposal are as follows:**

- Rates proposed may not exceed the rates approved under this program.**
- Cost proposal must include the number of anticipated hours, classifications of personnel, personnel hourly rates and a total project cost. If direct expenses are anticipated they must be detailed in the cost proposal.**
- The cost estimate must correspond to the detailed work plan and schedule that includes time estimates, associated deliverables, and staff assigned to each task.**

14. Among the total number of tasks and user interfaces that are to be implemented for this project, is it feasible for DOT to identify the % of those to be implemented on Mobile device (arcGIS mobile) versus desktop GIS? **No. It should be assumed the majority will be with Mobile.**

15. Section 4.2.3.4 On-site Test lab facility - Is vendor responsible for providing hardware/software for testing onsite? **No. Mn/DOT will host the testing environment.**

16. Regarding Use Cases 12 and 18 (UC-12: Import Location from Range Finder, UC-18: Record Multiple Points For a Sign);has a particular range-finder model been selected for this use case or will our firm be responsible for identifying and/or procuring an appropriate device to execute the contract requirements? **Yes, see the answer to question #3 above.**

17. On a related note, are the mobile device types that will be used by field technicians know? If so, what are these devices along with their make and model, i.e. smart phone, tablet PC, Windows Mobile device, etc? **The GPS unit is a Trimble GeoXT. Each Mn/DOT District will purchase its own wireless technology. Ruggedized laptops are being selected at this time.**

18. Section 1.5 states that the responder must be available to meet with Mn/DOT's team on-site within 48 hours notice. How often is this anticipated to occur over the course of the project? **Unknown at this time but Mn/DOT has other technologies available that could be used, such as AdobeConnect. Occasional on-site meetings may still be necessary. Is this also a requirement during the maintenance period? Occasional on-site meetings may be necessary during the warranty period**

19. In section 3.2, the Key Deliverable Dates table shows Use Case 15 as part of Iteration 4 as well as part of Iteration 6. Can you clarify this? Use Case 15 should have only been included once. A detailed work plan is to be provided by the responder as a part of their proposal. In your response include which use cases are included in which iteration.
20. In section 12.1.5, is the Veteran-Owned/Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Preference Form required to be included in each respondent's proposal regardless of veteran-owned status? Only those meeting the preference must provide the form in order to receive the additional points. If you do not meet the criteria, you do not need to include the form within your proposal.
21. Section 13.0 states that cost proposals are to be submitted in a separate sealed envelope. Please confirm that only one copy of the cost proposal is requested by Mn/DOT (rather than 7 copies as requested for the rest of the response). Yes, only one copy of the cost proposal is necessary.
22. What is the anticipated budget for this project? The budget has been approved but that specific information is not available to vendors.